

Shropshire

Personal Details:

Name: [REDACTED]
Email: [REDACTED]
Postcode: [REDACTED]
Organisation Name: (Member of the public)

Comment text:

Related subject: Movement of Nash (Clee division) into Cleobury Mortimer

I strongly disagree with this move for the following reasons.

1. Nash is geographically part of the Clee division. Looking on a map there is a natural corridor from Burford to Clee Hill of small villages and hamlets with the same demographics, these should remain in Clee division with the same council representation.

If we are moved to Cleobury

there is a five mile gap with no public transport and it is unlikely we would get the same representation as we do now, due to the distant location and different needs of our small rural communities.

All of our cultural, recreational and economic links are within the current corridor. People shop, work, play sport and use schools, doctors and dentists in Tenbury, Burford and Clee Hill not Cleobury.

Any developments or decisions about Burford or Clee Hill have a direct bearing on Nash. We would have no input or representation regarding these decisions if we were represented by Cleobury. We need continuity of representation within the current area to allow us to be part of any future decisions which would affect us. Decisions regarding Cleobury made by our representative would have no bearing on us whatsoever.

Therefore it is vital that we stay in the Clee division to share common representation at local government level to maintain community identity and effective local government.

The Parish Council conducted a poll concerning this matter. 91 residents in the parish took part and 91 people voted no to this move.

This percentage of negative views cannot be ignored .

Attached Documents:

None attached