

Shropshire

Personal Details:

Name: Ms Amy Henson

Email: [REDACTED]

Postcode: [REDACTED]

Organisation Name: Pulverbatch village hall committee (Representative of a local organisation)

Comment text:

Related subject: Changes to the Burnell ward - moving Pulverbatch into Bishops Castle ward

I live in the Burnell ward and I'm a member of the Pulverbatch Village Hall Committee. We are a very active group in the community. The proposed changes seem bizarre? Why change something that works perfectly fine for everyone? Every single person i have spoken to is of the same opinion, they understand why there is a proposal to link Pulverbatch to Bishops Castle, when no considers themselves to be part of that ward presently? There are no church links between Pulverbatch and Bishops Castle. There is no bus service between the two. There are no school catchment links either. We have always been represented by the Burnell ward member - who is local to the parish and well involved in the community. As a pulverbatch committee member we have strong links in an easterly direction to the neighbouring areas of Longden, Condover, Dorrington and to Pontesbury - not Bishops Castle over 14 miles away. I personally rarely travel there - possible once in the last 2 years, whereas I consider myself to have strong links to the Burnell wards and areas within it.

Can I ask why the urban area of Bayston Hill is proposed to join a rural area - Burnell? It would make more sense to add it to another urban ward like Reabrook and Otley or Meole to Bayston Hill instead. Surely 2 urban areas have more in common?

The council ward I live in is Burnell. I do not want to be joined with Bayston Hill.

Attached Documents:

None attached