

# Tandridge

## Personal Details:

Name: [REDACTED]  
Email: [REDACTED]  
Postcode: [REDACTED]  
Organisation Name: (Member of the public)

## Comment text:

Dear Sirs,

I have reviewed the proposals as laid out your 'new political map for Tandridge Council' and with particular interest with the proposal for Tatsfield. My main thought on the proposal is why??

The proposal does not seem to be reducing the number of councillors.

By merging existing boundaries all that will be achieved will be a dilution of each councillors local interest and knowledge to serve his existing residents.

In the case of Tatsfield, our councillor has accumulated several years of knowledge and experience through excellent service to a community of residents and their issues which can only be diluted with the intake of Titsey residents into the new enlarged ward.

Titsey residents are already served by their own, presumably, equally knowledgeable councillors and they gain no benefit from their councillors loosing their focus to serve them by having to serve Tatsfield as well.

No envisaged benefits are described or claimed, presumably that is because there are none.

If there are no benefits, why are the changes being proposed?

Is it because Tatsfield has to fit within some ill conceived political dogma, which chooses not to share its intentions in proposing the 'new political map for Tandridge'

The clue must be in the title!

Finally, why are residents being asked to comment on such a poorly presented proposal that is not supported with any justification or any benefit that can be understood or enjoyed by residents.

In today's financial environment the cost of this silly proposal could have been put to far better use.

Finally, I return to my initial observation, why??

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

## Attached Documents:

*None attached*