

Tandridge

Personal Details:

Name: [REDACTED]
Email: [REDACTED]
Postcode: [REDACTED]
Organisation Name: (Member of the public)

Comment text:

Related subject: Tatsfield

My objections to Tatsfield being joined up with Limpsfield.

1. Tatsfield is now adequately represented & it would be detrimental to Tatsfield if the 3 councillor ward went ahead.
2. Tatsfield's wonderful community identity would be diminished by being joined to Limpsfield.
3. Tatsfield's interests are best served by our local councillor (one member ward representative) who is more convenient for being local & a part of our local community & more effective as they know the intricacies & problems first hand.
4. Being represented by someone who lives in Tatsfield & knows our community has served us extremely well & effectively for the last 20 years.
5. Tatsfield is geographically out in a limb, our TN16 code identifies us as Kent & Oxted/Limpsfield is not near enough to serve Tatsfield with doctors, dentists, local shops etc.
6. There appears to be no proposed changes to Woldingham which has a similar number of electorates as Tatsfield.-. The commission suggests Woldingham's identity justifies retaining a one member ward.. i suggests the same applies to Tatsfield who should also retain its one member status

Attached Documents:

None attached