

Liverpool City Council

Personal Details:

Name:

E-mail:

Postcode:

Organisation Name:

Comment text:

The splitting of Warbreck appears a logical one; and the proposed boundaries all appear to make logical sense, in addition to the naming conventions for Orrell Park, Walton Vale, Walton Hall. However, the proposed naming of "Fazakerley West" and "Aintree" appear counterintuitive based on the respective areas. Would it not serve for greater accuracy, and to reduce confusion to swap the names of these two wards

The area proposed as Fazakerley West:

- Consists of properties primarily in an L9 Postcode which is recognised as Aintree
- Borders Aintree Train Station
- Borders Aintree Race Course
- Cuts the proposed "Aintree" ward off from the original Aintree that Includes Wango Lane, School Lane and Bull Bridge Lane that were the core part of Aintree Village

It appears that this area would be better suited as being named Aintree (or potentially "Aintree South" if the areas to the north are to be managed by a different authority; allowing it to be named "Aintree North" By comparison the area marked as "Aintree"

- Contains Fazakerley Railway Station, which whilst named Aintree Railway station for a short period; as a landmark it is known locally as Fazakerley station.
- Contains/borders Hartley's Village which is a Fazakerley Landmark
- Currently borders the proposed Walton Hall ward; which given Fazakerley was named based on it's borders with Walton seems more logical
- Contains areas within Norris Green, L10 & L11 postcodes which sit outside the typical borders of Aintree

Using SugarBrook as a starting point; the naming of "Fazakerley West" would still be appropriate as the area sits predominantly to the West of the origin point of Fazakerley

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded