

Wolverhampton City Council

[Cookie settings](#)

No, give me more information

Accept all cookies

Personal Details: about cookies

Name:

E-mail:

Postcode:

Organisation Name:

Comment text:

i have lived in Penn all my life (62 years) and I like living in "Penn". I can't see any good reason for changing the boundary where I live to Graislely and I'm sure it would only have a detrimental affect on the locals It is absolutely nonsense and a complete was of time and council tax, Penn boundaries should be maintained as they currently are. Penn Ward has similarities with Merry Hill, Tettenhall Regis and Tettenhall Wightwick and these wards are already recommended by LGBCE and local Council to remain as they are. The test around clear boundaries is definitely compromised as relinquishing both sides of the Coalway Road to Graislely and taking both sides of Goldthorn Hill and Coton Road to Blakenhall leaves Penn Ward no clear strong, clearly Identiafible boundaries. The other test around reflecting community identity Penn Ward has been ignored. We will lose its much loved and only Catholic church in our community. St Michaels church at the moment comes under Penn ward and a lot of Penn residents are proud of this local community church. The average number of electors in a ward per council is 3,175 the proposal to maintain Penn Ward as is, will still leave Councils within the range of 10%of the average electors over the forecast period of 2026. The shops at the bottom of Coalway Road are well used by local people and these unique shops are part of the the Character of Penn Ward'. This community shopping area fits in well with Penn Ward. Graislely already has its own community shopping areas. Don't mess with our Boundary! Have you got nothing better to do!

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded