

Review Officer (Wolverhampton)
The Local Government Boundary
Commission for England .
PO Box 133
Blyth
NE24 9FE

12 August 2021

Dear Sirs,

I live in Penn and have a residence in Waverley crescent in which I have resided since the early 1980s.
I do not agree that Waverley Crescent should be excluded from Penn and moved into Blakenhall.

1 I believe that the current boundaries should be maintained as they currently are.
Penn ward has similarities with Merry Hill, Tettenhall Regis and Tettenhall Wightwick, The LGBCE
have already recommended
That these three Wards remain as they currently are.

2 I believe that the test around clear boundaries is most definitely compromised
as relinquishing both sides of Goldthorn Hill and Coton Road to blakenhall together with
taking both sides of Coalway road to Graiseley leaves Penn Ward without a strong and clearly-
definable boundary.

3 When considering the other test "reflecting community identity" this appears to have been
completely ignored.
Penn ward would lose my local catholic Church St Michaels which is the only Catholic church which
in the Ward.

[REDACTED]
My involvement with Penn is a close one. I have
no involvement with
Blakenhall.

4 When examining the average number of electors in a Ward per Councillor we find that this is 3,175.
The proposal to maintain Penn Ward as it is will still leave Councillors with electors within the
acceptable range
of 10 per cent of the average electors over the forecast period of 2026.

5 Penn ward has many unique shops, around the corner from Coalway Road and Goldthorn Hill.
These shops are well used by local people and they are part of the unique "Character of Penn Ward".
Graiseley and Blakenhall have their own community shopping areas.

I look forward to your further consideration of the proposal and your acceptance of the request to
maintain the Penn Ward with the boundaries as they currently exist.

Regards,

[REDACTED]