

Wolverhampton City Council[Cookie settings](#)**Accept all cookies****Personal Details:** about cookies**Name:****E-mail:****Postcode:****Organisation Name:****Comment text:**

I object to these proposals because:- 1. Penn Ward is similar to other wards such as Tettenhall Wightwick, Merry Hill, Tettenhal Regis. Their boundaries are not being changed so why are ours being changed. 2 Average number of electors per wards is 3,175 Keeping Penn Ward boundaries as they are will still leave Councillors with electors within the 10 percent of the average of electors over the forecast period of 2026. 3. Coalway Rd., is clear identifiable boundary between Penn and Graiseley. Goldthorn Hill and Coton Rd. have a clear identifiable boundary between Penn and Blakenhall. These proposals will leave Penn Ward with no clearly-indentifiable boundaries. This would be obvious from a visit to these areas. 4. The other test around 'reflecting community identity' has been ignored. Penn Ward will lose it's much loved and only Catholic Church. St. Michaels at the moment comes under Penn Ward and a lot of Penn Ward residents are proud of this community church. 5. Penn Ward has lovely shops on and at the corner of Coalway Rd.. These shops are well used by local Penn residents and form part of the character of Penn Ward. Graisleley Ward has it's own community shopping areas, which form the character of Graiseley Ward. We moved to Penn Ward about 30 years ago and still want to live in Penn Ward.

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded