

## South Staffordshire District Council

### Personal Details:

**Name:**

[REDACTED]

**E-mail:**

[REDACTED]

**Postcode:**

[REDACTED]

**Organisation Name:** Personal view

### Comment text:

The Boundary Commission review is planning on reducing the amount of Councillors for 2023. I make the case that 'Pattingham and Patshull' District seat deserves to keep its one seat. But why? The village of Pattingham and Patshull has its own Parish Council separate from the neighbouring hamlets and having its own District Councillor solely responsible for the parish is crucial in connecting communities around the villages. The County seat for this area is called 'Perton' and this has resulted in Pattingham being forgotten not only be elected representations but by crucial funding As Perton will continue to expand through housing development over the next decade alongside the expected reduction in District Councillors in Perton by one it would make sense to move the 'Perton Dippons' seat further towards the housing estate of Perton thus ensuring Pattingham keeps its District Councillor allocation.

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded