

Worcester City Council

Personal Details:

Name:

[REDACTED]

E-mail:

[REDACTED]

Postcode:

[REDACTED]

Organisation Name:

Comment text:

The boundary of Worcester City needs to be rationalised to reflect all the communities who are effectively "living in Worcester". An example is with St. Peters - there are a few properties north of Crookbarrow Way that lie outside Worcester City's boundary (they are in Wychavon) that should really be in Worcester City. It doesn't make any sense for them to be in Wychavon. The commission should also consider extending the boundary of Worcester City to include all those settlements that lie just outside the boundary and are effectively part of Worcester eg. Norton, Rushwick, as that is more rational than the current situation. Regarding the current internal boundaries in Worcester itself - Cathedral ward needs to be rationalised - it is too big and extensive and it's shape needs to be made more compact to be mainly focussed on the city centre and immediate surrounding areas.

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded