

York

Personal Details:

Name: [REDACTED]
Email: [REDACTED]
Postcode: [REDACTED]
Organisation Name: N/A (Member of the public)

Comment text:

Related subject: Rural West York - Upper Poppleton

We bought our house on Langley Gate in 2024. Our criteria for purchase included reasonable proximity to York railway station without actually living in the York urban area. Having looked at several new developments in the area we were attracted to Upper Poppleton and to Langley Gate in particular. Put simply, we choose to buy a house in Upper Poppleton, not Acomb.

One of the stated aims of the boundary review is Community Identity, described as reflecting the identity and interests of the local community. Moving our house from Upper Poppleton to York reflects neither our identity nor our interests.

Some of the properties that form part of Langley Gate are situated on Millfield Lane which does not have direct road access to Acomb because of the camera trap on Low Poppleton Lane. If these properties were to remain in Poppleton while other properties are moved to Acomb, this would split the Langley Gate community. This is a single community development and should remain so.

Moving Langley Gate to Acomb will affect school catchment areas without any clear need for the change. It will also impact on insurance costs, household and motor vehicle, by moving from a rural location to an urban one. These costs would almost certainly increase, thereby financially penalising residents for a move they do not want. Furthermore it is highly likely that property values will decrease.

The case for changing the boundaries to move Langley Gate from Upper Poppleton to Acomb has not been made. It is change for change sake with significant downsides for residents and no discernible benefit. We made a conscious decision to buy a house in Upper Poppleton not Acomb and do not wish to see this change forced upon us.

We therefore object to the proposed changes in the strongest possible terms.

Attached Documents:

None attached