

York

Personal Details:

Name: [REDACTED]
Email: [REDACTED]
Postcode: [REDACTED]
Organisation Name: (Member of the public)

Comment text:

Related subject: Proposed changing of ward boundaries - Rural West York



Hi, I live in the Langley Gate development and would be affected by the proposed ward boundary change to Acomb. I would like to oppose the change to ward boundaries. Currently we are well served by our local councillors and do not wish to leave the ward boundary of Rural York West. We as residents here live in an isolated development which currently as of yet York Council has not adopted any roads or grounds maintenance. We therefore rely on the help of our councillors for any local issues - something that is far better suited to councillors of a rural ward as they will understand there is not a one-size-fits-all approach and have experience with our community and the issues we have faced.

You are also land-locking residents who live on Millfield Lane, who currently can ONLY access the 'ward' by driving into another one. Their sole vehicle access is via Nether Poppleton and the A1237 to the north. There seems little point splitting a housing development in two.

There has also been discussion in the past about a potential proposed housing development immediately to the south of Langley Gate, nearby to the site of the Muddy Boots childcare facility. If this goes ahead then you will have a group of new properties split into two wards; with the adjacent-to-Acomb one going to Rural West, and the one furthest away from Acomb going to Acomb - it doesn't make sense.

Attached Documents:

- [feedback-re.-proposed-ward-boundary-change.pdf](#)



Our formal postal addresses are: "Upper Poppleton". Why be part of a different ward to the rest of our village?

These properties here on Millfield Lane will be left land-locked by a ward boundary

Existing bus-gate at Millfield Lane

There is planned housing development in this area - despite us ultimately sharing the same roadway entrance and being further away from Acomb, the proposal is to keep this area as Rural West and LG as Acomb - makes no sense.