

York

Personal Details:

Name: [REDACTED]
Email: [REDACTED]
Postcode: [REDACTED]
Organisation Name: (Member of the public)

Comment text:

Related subject: Several...

New Fulford & Fishergate - Fulford has more in common with Naburn and Heslington than it does the tight, terraced streets of upper Fishergate.

New Guildhall - An inner city ward incorporating areas that don't have "inner city problems" - Bootham, The Groves and Lawrence Street.

Heworth Without - It would seem sensible to incorporate Stockton on the Forest within it as they are both quite rural, rather than shipping that over to Strensall.

Derwent - a gigantic ward - does Holtby have flooding issues like Naburn and Elvington does?

Rawcliffe & Clifton Without - why does it have small section of Haxby Road in it?

Why is the Boundary Commission afraid of using roads and railway lines as a means of differentiating one boundary from another? Surely Parish Boundaries are way out of date and do not reflect the demographics of the population?

Take Hull Road - rather than using Hull Road as a boundary, Osbaldwick has been used? Considering the housing on Bairstow Avenue and Kexby Avenue, it would have been wise to keep them as part of Tang Hall.

Same for Tadcaster Road - after Sim Balk Lane, the lines demarking Bishopthorpe, Dringhouses, Copmanthorpe and Rural West York look like they were drawn during an earthquake when Tadcaster Road and the A64 provide a much tidier boundary.

Leeman Road - at last, but why not use Water End as a boundary rather than it encompassing a lot of empty space on the north-west side? Unless the dogs at the RSPCA have been given a vote?

I attach a picture of something I drew up not so long ago. Whilst I appreciate there are more areas than you're considering, the aim was to keep populations similar and also the types of housing within those areas.

Attached Documents:

- screenshot-2026-04-28-150651.png

