

# Cheshire East

## Personal Details:

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Postcode: [REDACTED]

Organisation Name: Other (Political groups)

## Comment text:

**Related subject:** Crewe

In Crewe, four wards, covering 80% of the electors in the town, will have TWICE as many Councillors as the remaining two wards.

They will have two Cheshire East Councillors and four Crewe Town Councillors.

SIX Councillors in total.

Whilst Maw Green and St. Barnabas electors will only have THREE Councillors. (One Cheshire East and two Town Council)

This is grossly unfair, unjust and undemocratic.

How can this possibly be justified?

Why should residents in part of the Town have TWICE as many Councillors as the rest of the town?

To eliminate this unfairness it is necessary to have ten single member wards in Crewe for the Cheshire East Council.

This is perfectly feasible and would eliminate the gross unfairness that you are proposing.

Also when a Ward elects two Councillors, many electors are unaware that they have two votes and only vote for one candidate. Because of this many votes are not cast when they could have been.

Also I feel that a quarter of the Councillors, both Cheshire East and Crewe Town, should be up for election every year. This gives the electors more opportunities to remove Councillors they are not happy with. They don't have to wait four years before giving them the chop, which is far too long to wait.

Before Cheshire East was formed in 2009, there were elections every year in Crewe. A third of the old Crewe and Nantwich Borough Council was elected each year and in the fourth year there were elections for the County Council. This really kept the Councillors on their toes and responsive to the needs and concerns of their electors.

Is the frequency of elections for the local Councils part of your review?  
If not how would I get it reviewed?

## **Attached Documents:**

*None attached*