

# County Durham

## Personal Details:

**Name:** Andrew Rigg

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Postcode:** [REDACTED]

**Organisation Name:** Medomsley Community action group (Representative of a local organisation)

## Comment text:

Good Morning,

I Andrew Rigg hold the position of Treasure on the Medomsley Community action group and are submitting the attached on behalf of all of the signatories.

Can you please confirm by reply of email that you confirm you have received this email, containing 12 separate documents containing 44 signatures oppose the changes to the suggested boundary changes.

The submissions attached also include our support for an alternative proposed change to the boundaries.

Thank you

Andrew Rigg

## Attached Documents:

- Doc 24 Jun 2023, 3.11.pdf

# County Durham

## Personal Details:

Name: [REDACTED]  
Email: [REDACTED]  
Postcode: [REDACTED]  
Organisation Name: (Member of the public)

## Comment text:

Good Morning,

This email is a second email with another batch of submissions against the proposed boundary changes.

I Andrew Rigg hold the position of Treasure on the Medomsley Community action group and are submitting the attached on behind of all of the signatories.

Can you please confirm by reply of email that you confirm you have received this email, containing 9 separate documents containing 40 signatures oppose the changes to the suggested boundary changes.

The submissions attached also include our support for an alternative proposed change to the boundaries.

Thank you

Andrew Rigg

## Attached Documents:

- Submissions against proposed boundary changes.pdf

**From:** [Andrew Rigg](#)  
**To:** [reviews](#)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Submissions against proposed boundary changes  
**Date:** 04 July 2023 10:01:08

---

Good Morning,

This email is a second email with another batch of submissions against the proposed boundary changes.

I Andrew Rigg hold the position of Treasure on the Medomsley Community action group and are submitting the attached on behalf of all of the signatories.

Can you please confirm by reply of email that you confirm you have received this email, containing 9 separate documents containing 40 signatures oppose the changes to the suggested boundary changes.

The submissions attached also include our support for an alternative proposed change to the boundaries.

Thank you


Andrew Rigg

We the undersigned (**Residents of MEDOMSLEY Village**) do not support the Boundary Change proposals for the Leadgate/Medomsley Division for the following reason.

- The current Leadgate and Medomsley Division is rural based with limited transport links. Many electors prefer to maintain their rural relationships having no direct correlation with the expanding urban conurbation not wanting to be co-joined to the Township of Consett.

**We support an alternative proposal**

- The current division of Leadgate/Medomsley is amalgamated in entirety with the current Burnopfield/Dipton division to create a 3 Councillor Division entirely rural based with a cumulative electorate of circa 13000.
- The amalgamated division should be named as **The Derwent and Pont Valley Division**, therefore no one village has predominance over the other villages across the new division thereby reflecting the complete rurality of the whole area




We the undersigned (**Residents of MEDOMSLEY Village**) do not support the Boundary Change proposals for the Leadgate/Medomsley Division for the following reason.

- The current Leadgate and Medomsley Division is rural based with limited transport links. Many electors prefer to maintain their rural relationships having no direct correlation with the expanding urban conurbation not wanting to be co-joined to the Township of Consett.

**We support an alternative proposal**

- The current division of Leadgate/Medomsley is amalgamated in entirety with the current Burnopfield/Dipton division to create a 3 Councillor Division entirely rural based with a cumulative electorate of circa 13000.
- The amalgamated division should be named as **The Derwent and Pont Valley Division**, therefore no one village has predominance over the other villages across the new division thereby reflecting the complete rurality of the whole area




We the undersigned (**Residents of Medomsley Village**) do not support the Boundary Change proposals for the Leadgate/Medomsley Division for the following reason.

- The current Leadgate and Medomsley Division is rural based with limited transport links. Many electors prefer to maintain their rural relationships having no direct correlation with the expanding urban conurbation not wanting to be co-joined to the Township of Consett.

**We support an alternative proposal**

- The current division of Leadgate/Medomsley is amalgamated in entirety with the current Burnopfield/Dipton division to create a 3 Councillor Division entirely rural based with a cumulative electorate of circa 13000.
- The amalgamated division should be named as **The Derwent and Pont Valley Division**, therefore no one village has predominance over the other villages across the new division thereby reflecting the complete rurality of the whole area




We the undersigned (**Residents of Medomsley Village**) do not support the Boundary Change proposals for the Leadgate/Medomsley Division for the following reason.

- The current Leadgate and Medomsley Division is rural based with limited transport links. Many electors prefer to maintain their rural relationships having no direct correlation with the expanding urban conurbation not wanting to be co-joined to the Township of Consett.

**We support an alternative proposal**

- The current division of Leadgate/Medomsley is amalgamated in entirety with the current Burnopfield/Dipton division to create a 3 Councillor Division entirely rural based with a cumulative electorate of circa 13000.
- The amalgamated division should be named as **The Derwent and Pont Valley Division**, therefore no one village has predominance over the other villages across the new division thereby reflecting the complete rurality of the whole area




We the undersigned (**Residents of Medomsley Village**) do not support the Boundary Change proposals for the Leadgate/Medomsley Division for the following reason.

- The current Leadgate and Medomsley Division is rural based with limited transport links. Many electors prefer to maintain their rural relationships having no direct correlation with the expanding urban conurbation not wanting to be co-joined to the Township of Consett.

**We support an alternative proposal**

- The current division of Leadgate/Medomsley is amalgamated in entirety with the current Burnopfield/Dipton division to create a 3 Councillor Division entirely rural based with a cumulative electorate of circa 13000.
- The amalgamated division should be named as **The Derwent and Pont Valley Division**, therefore no one village has predominance over the other villages across the new division thereby reflecting the complete rurality of the whole area






We the undersigned (**Residents of Medomsley Village**) do not support the Boundary Change proposals for the Leadgate/Medomsley Division for the following reason.

- The current Leadgate and Medomsley Division is rural based with limited transport links. Many electors prefer to maintain their rural relationships having no direct correlation with the expanding urban conurbation not wanting to be co-joined to the Township of Consett.

**We support an alternative proposal**

- The current division of Leadgate/Medomsley is amalgamated in entirety with the current Burnopfield/Dipton division to create a 3 Councillor Division entirely rural based with a cumulative electorate of circa 13000.
- The amalgamated division should be named as **The Derwent and Pont Valley Division**, therefore no one village has predominance over the other villages across the new division thereby reflecting the complete rurality of the whole area




We the undersigned (**Residents of Medomsley Village**) do not support the Boundary Change proposals for the Leadgate/Medomsley Division for the following reason.

- The current Leadgate and Medomsley Division is rural based with limited transport links. Many electors prefer to maintain their rural relationships having no direct correlation with the expanding urban conurbation not wanting to be co-joined to the Township of Consett.

**We support an alternative proposal**

- The current division of Leadgate/Medomsley is amalgamated in entirety with the current Burnopfield/Dipton division to create a 3 Councillor Division entirely rural based with a cumulative electorate of circa 13000.
- The amalgamated division should be named as **The Derwent and Pont Valley Division**, therefore no one village has predominance over the other villages across the new division thereby reflecting the complete rurality of the whole area



P.T.O


---

We the undersigned (**Residents of Medomsley Village**) do not support the Boundary Change proposals for the Leadgate/Medomsley Division for the following reason.

- The current Leadgate and Medomsley Division is rural based with limited transport links. Many electors prefer to maintain their rural relationships having no direct correlation with the expanding urban conurbation not wanting to be co-joined to the Township of Consett.

**We support an alternative proposal**

- The current division of Leadgate/Medomsley is amalgamated in entirety with the current Burnopfield/Dipton division to create a 3 Councillor Division entirely rural based with a cumulative electorate of circa 13000.
- The amalgamated division should be named as **The Derwent and Pont Valley Division**, therefore no one village has predominance over the other villages across the new division thereby reflecting the complete rurality of the whole area




We the undersigned (**Residents of Medomsley Village**) do not support the Boundary Change proposals for the Leadgate/Medomsley Division for the following reason.

- The current Leadgate and Medomsley Division is rural based with limited transport links. Many electors prefer to maintain their rural relationships having no direct correlation with the expanding urban conurbation not wanting to be co-joined to the Township of Consett.

**We support an alternative proposal**

- The current division of Leadgate/Medomsley is amalgamated in entirety with the current Burnopfield/Dipton division to create a 3 Councillor Division entirely rural based with a cumulative electorate of circa 13000.
- The amalgamated division should be named as **The Derwent and Pont Valley Division**, therefore no one village has predominance over the other villages across the new division thereby reflecting the complete rurality of the whole area



We the undersigned (**Residents of Medomsley Village**) do not support the Boundary Change proposals for the Leadgate/Medomsley Division for the following reason.

- The current Leadgate and Medomsley Division is rural based with limited transport links. Many electors prefer to maintain their rural relationships having no direct correlation with the expanding urban conurbation not wanting to be co-joined to the Township of Consett.

**We support an alternative proposal**

- The current division of Leadgate/Medomsley is amalgamated in entirety with the current Burnopfield/Dipton division to create a 3 Councillor Division entirely rural based with a cumulative electorate of circa 13000.
- The amalgamated division should be named as **The Derwent and Pont Valley Division**, therefore no one village has predominance over the other villages across the new division thereby reflecting the complete rurality of the whole area




We the undersigned (**Residents of Medomsley Village**) do not support the Boundary Change proposals for the Leadgate/Medomsley Division for the following reason.

- The current Leadgate and Medomsley Division is rural based with limited transport links. Many electors prefer to maintain their rural relationships having no direct correlation with the expanding urban conurbation not wanting to be co-joined to the Township of Consett.

**We support an alternative proposal**

- The current division of Leadgate/Medomsley is amalgamated in entirety with the current Burnopfield/Dipton division to create a 3 Councillor Division entirely rural based with a cumulative electorate of circa 13000.
- The amalgamated division should be named as **The Derwent and Pont Valley Division**, therefore no one village has predominance over the other villages across the new division thereby reflecting the complete rurality of the whole area



We the undersigned (**Residents of Medomsley Village**) do not support the Boundary Change proposals for the Leadgate/Medomsley Division for the following reason.

- The current Leadgate and Medomsley Division is rural based with limited transport links. Many electors prefer to maintain their rural relationships having no direct correlation with the expanding urban conurbation not wanting to be co-joined to the Township of Consett.

**We support an alternative proposal**

- The current division of Leadgate/Medomsley is amalgamated in entirety with the current Burnopfield/Dipton division to create a 3 Councillor Division entirely rural based with a cumulative electorate of circa 13000.
- The amalgamated division should be named as **The Derwent and Pont Valley Division**, therefore no one village has predominance over the other villages across the new division thereby reflecting the complete rurality of the whole area

