

Gloucestershire

Personal Details:

Name: Mr Ben Evans

Email: [REDACTED]

Postcode: [REDACTED]

Organisation Name: Gloucestershire County Council Liberal Democrat Group (District or county councillor)

Comment text:

Related subject: Whole County

In Cheltenham District we propose that the 10 County Councillors are split between the 20 Cheltenham Borough Council Divisions, as they are proposed (with each County Councillor covering two Cheltenham Borough Council seats). (See APPENDIX A). The Boundary Commission re-drew the Cheltenham proposed new boundaries in 2023, therefore do not have figures available to make predictions for 2029 populations. However, we are assuming that the 20 Cheltenham Divisions are within tolerance of being equal in population size, and therefore that pairing them up would keep them within that tolerance (this is an inexact science, but in absence of numbers is best we can do!). Please see APPENDIX B for full reasons on this.

In Cotswold District we would only make one change (see APPENDIX C). To keep things simple, we are proposing that parishes from the overpopulated Stow Division (17% over the target 2029 figure) be transferred to the Campden Vale division as follows: move Cutsdean, Temple Guiting, Guiting Power and Naunton from Stow Division to the Campden-Vale Division. That is a move of 977 voters (on 2029 figures). This would put Campden Division and Stow Division slightly above 10% over variance – however, it would also mean all other Divisions were perfectly sized. We believe the villages which we are proposing to move are linked via geography to the North Cotswolds more than to Stow – for example Cutsdean and the Guitings will look to the existing Campden Vale division for schools (Chipping Campden Secondary School) and the leisure centre rather than to Moreton or Stow.

Tewkesbury District is the most complicated (see APPENDIX D). This is due to the large increase in population AND the addition of a new County Council Division being added into the District (to reflect the population increase). We have broken down the numbers fully in APPENDIX E, and a

narrative on why the neighbourhoods are natural communities in APPENDIX F. We propose that:

- A new Division is created (we have called it “Severn Vale”) to take on the northern, rural parts of the old Highnam and Haw Bridge seat, and other rural areas and villages that complement the nature of the parts of the old Division
- “Highnam and Hawbridge” is made much smaller (to reflect the huge growth in housing) to include Highnam, Maisemore, Minsterworth, Innsworth, Twigworth, Longford and a few other villages
- “Brockworth” is made much smaller by losing the outlying areas of Shurdington and Badgeworth (which will join “Severn Vale”).
- “Churchdown” is left as it is, adding a small section from the “Brockworth” seat (which brings both Divisions in to line with the population guides). The bit of the “Brockworth” Division to be added is HCC2
- “Winchcombe” remains the same
- “Tewkesbury” remains largely the same (but gains Tewkesbury Newtown from “Tewkesbury East”)
- “Tewkesbury East” remains largely the same (but gains Oxenton from “Bishops Cleeve” and loses Tewkesbury Newtown to “Tewkesbury”)
- Bishops Cleeve to be ONLY Cleeve West, Cleeve Grange and Cleeve St Michael (losing Stoke Orchard and Tredington, part of Bishops Cleeve Grange, and Gotherington to “Severn Vale”, and Oxenton to “Tewkesbury East”). This is due to the huge growth in housing in the area.

Stroud is also complex. We have based our proposal (APPENDIX G for maps, with numbers in APPENDIX H) which would bring all the divisions within a 10% tolerance. Wootton Under Edge (WUE) covers a large geographical area already and we would be loathe to add to that, but purely to put it within 10% tolerance we would add CUC (“Owlpen”). Although a small number it puts Wootton within 10% for the District. Of all the neighbouring polling districts, adding “BVB” is too large and would tilt numbers the wrong way; adding “BVE” would create “BVB” as an island for a neighbouring Division; “CWA” is too large and adding it would make WUE too large; “DA”, “DB” and “DC” are all part of the Town of Dursley (and also too large); adding “CUD” along would make an Island of “CUC”.

Starting from this position, we would leave Cam Valley, Nailsworth, Minchinhampton, Stroud Central and Rodborough, and Dursley as they are, both within tolerance. Hardwicke and Severn would also remain largely the same, with “HF” and “HE” moving into the newly created “Stroud North” Division.

“Stroud North” is the newly created Division, taking from Hardwicke and Severn, Painswick and Bisley, and Stonehouse. This then allows all of these areas to be within tolerance and have boundaries that are neat geographically.

In Gloucester we propose a tidying up exercise based on the current 10 county council divisions within the City of Gloucester boundaries. There is no wholesale change, but we have reduced the number of city wards to just 3 that are subdivided. There were a total of 8 city council wards that were subdivided in the last boundary review.

The current county boundaries have only 10 intact city council wards out of a total of 18 wards. These city council wards are 1) Abbeymead, 2) Barton & Tredworth, 3) Coney Hill, 4) Grange, 5) Hucclecote, 6) Kingsholm & Wotton, 7) Kingsway, 8) Longlevens, 9) Quedgeley Severn Vale and 10) Tuffley.

Our proposed county divisions allow for 15 intact city council wards out of a total of 18 wards. This will help the city and county councillors work more effectively together and assist the public in finding out who to approach for a local problem.

These city council wards that remain undivided in our proposals are 1) Abbeydale, 2) Abbeymead, 3) Barnwood, 4) Barton & Tredworth, 5) Coney Hill, 6) Grange, 7) Hucclecote, 8) Kingsholm & Wotton, 9) Kingsway, 10) Longlevens, 11) Matson, Robinswood & White City, 12) Podsmead, 13) Quedgeley Fieldcourt, 14) Quedgeley Severn Vale and 15) Tuffley

Sub-divided city council wards

Due to the different sizes of city council wards it's inevitable that some city wards have to be subdivided.

Moreland city ward – Moreland ward is currently divided between 3 county divisions. Our proposal divides between 2 county divisions. It also now ensures that the long-established community of Linden is not divided and together it will form part of a new county division of Linden and Tuffley.

The other part of Moreland remains in the unchanged Barton and Tredworth county division.

Westgate city ward – Westgate ward remains divided as it is now with polling district W3 in Kingsholm county division using the railway line as a natural divider.

Elmbridge city ward – Elmbridge now keeps a much larger proportion of its electorate than it does at present. Around 1,000 electors will remain part of the Longlevens county division. To balance the electorate, we have subdivided polling district E1 into 2 to be split between the new Longlevens and Kingsholm county divisions. E1 was once 2 polling districts.

Under the new proposed boundaries all 10 county divisions are within the 10% variation from the required 9,504 voting population for 2029, with seven of the County divisions within the + or - 5% range.

Attached Documents:

- APPENDIX A - Cheltenham Map.pdf
- APPENDIX B - Cheltenham narrative.docx
- APPENDIX C - Cotswold Map.pdf
- APPENDIX D - Tewkesbury Map.pdf
- APPENDIX E - Tewkesbury population numbers.xlsx
- APPENDIX F - Tewkesbury Communities narrative.docx

- APPENDIX G - Stroud Map.pdf
- APPENDIX H - Stroud population numbers.xlsx
- APPENDIX I - Gloucester Map.JPG
- APPENDIX J - Gloucester Numbers.xlsx

Churchdown – the proposed Churchdown Division is the defined village area plus a small area of a neighbouring suburb of Gloucester (Hucclecote) to make it an equitable size to other Divisions. It is bound geographically by Gloucestershire airport to the North; the M5 to the East; the A471 to the South; and the IMJIN barracks to the West. It has three primary schools and two secondary schools within its boundaries. Everyone within the proposed boundary (apart from the HCC2 section) lives within the village and/or shops, socialises or goes to school there. Bus links throughout the area mean people can go from one end of the proposed Division to the other within minutes, apart from HCC2 which is linked by a major A road and can be accessed within a 3 minute drive.

The District Council wards St Johns 1, 2, 3 and 4 and Churchdown Brookfield with Hucclecote make up Churchdown village at District level; at Parish level the majority of proposed Division is covered by Churchdown Parish Council. The Parish Council has made representation to LGBCE asking that the village of Churchdown remains covered by one County Councillor as it currently is.

The key issue for us is that we want the village of Churchdown to be respected, and therefore to be represented as one Division by one County Councillor. To split the village up in any way would mean that there would be two Councillors covering the village. We believe this would lead to poorer representation of residents, less ability to work with the large Parish Council, and a loss of focus on what is a natural and historic community.

Brockworth – the proposed Brockworth Division is the defined area of Brockworth plus an area of relatively new housing that is in Coopers Edge (Hucclecote), plus the small village of Great Witcombe, to make it equitable in size to other Divisions. Brockworth is an historic yet growing village, and that and the Hucclecote area are covered by one (Brockworth) Parish Council. The main shops for the entire area are based in Brockworth (Court Road) and the Tesco development; the secondary school is attended by children from across the piece; and the two community centres in Brockworth and Coopers Edge are the hubs of the community. The whole community is bound together by the old Roman Road (Ermin Street) which has buses every ten minutes linking one end to the other.

We propose that the outlying areas of Shurdington and Badgeworth are removed from the existing Brockworth seat. These rural villages have little to do with the large, suburban village that is Brockworth. They are different in character and have no cross over in terms of geography, schooling, community facilities or transport links.

Highnam – we propose that the Division of Highnam is drastically reduced in geographical size to account for the vast amount of house building taking place in the area. Innsworth, Longford and Twigworth are clearly one community, the new housing bleeding from one area into the next in a seamless link. The roads lead from one to the other without any obvious distinction. The sheer number of houses being built mean that this is, in effect, on very large village/suburb of Gloucester.

It seems logical to match these three with Highnam, Maismore and Minsterworth for geographical reasons. They are linked by the A40 road and are all in the very south west of Tewkesbury Borough. They also share an interest in flooding and the river Severn due to the proximity of all these places to it. Grouping together Innsworth, Longford, Twigworth, Maismore, Minsterworth and Highnam would give a manageable geographical area and would make the previously-vast Highnam and Hawbridge Division much more manageable.

Severn Vale – however, there is a price to pay for Highnam becoming a smaller geographical size, as it will mean that a lot of the rural areas and little villages that we in it will need to be picked up. We are proposing a new Division of **Severn Vale** to do just this. It incorporates a lot of the old area that was covered by Highnam and Hawbridge, but due to losing the areas in the new **Highnam** Division it picks up more areas that are directly linked – Shurdington, Badgeworth, Stoke Orchard and Gotherington.

The proposed Division borders Cheltenham along its Eastern boundary and stretches across the Severn Valley as far as the Forest of Dean. It is a large geographical area but it has a lot in common in that it covers rural areas and small

villages throughout. The Eastern side is associated with Cheltenham, the western reaches were already part of the Highnam and Hawbridge Division.

Bishops Cleeve – we are proposing that the village of Bishops Cleeve is kept together as one Division. We propose removing outlying villages such as Stoke Orchard and Gotherington but keeping the village intact as one. Bishops Cleeve is a natural, historic and clear community that would be best served as a single Division with a single Councillor (as per our proposal for Brockworth and Churchdown). As with these other large villages it would be much preferable to have one Councillor working with the community, the Parish Council and District Councillors rather than artificially split up the seat.

The reason Bishops Cleeve should be kept together in one Division and served by one Councillor is that it is a clear community. It has a “centre” that includes secondary school, pubs, shops, Parish Council officers, supermarket and church. It is growing extremely fast, and that is why we are proposing that outlying villages (such as Stoke Orchard) are placed elsewhere.

We appreciate that this will make Bishops Cleeve larger than desired in terms of percentage difference to the norm. However, we believe that the very clear community case for keeping the village intact and under one Councillor outweighs the need for mathematical perfection.

Tewkesbury, Tewkesbury East and Winchcombe – we have suggested minor changes to take into account new housing and moving populations. We do not believe any of these to be major in nature and all respect the current and natural communities that they cover.

In Cheltenham it happens to be the case the LGBCE have completed their recommendations for the Borough Council boundary to be enacted in 2024, one year before the GCC boundary review in 2025. It's also the case that the present reality is that there are 20 Borough Council seats which are combined in pairs to equate to the existing 10 GCC seats. The future Borough Council review anticipates that there will still be 20 Borough Council seats, albeit on different boundaries, though with substantially the same names. Clearly, as a result of their review, these new seats will already be of similar sizes.

Against this background it is our recommendation that the 10 new GCC seats be formed by combining the new Borough Council seats in pairs. We would see this as advantageous in terms of the quality of representation, as County members will be dealing with Borough members on the basis of co-terminous boundaries. The pairing of seats with co-terminous ward boundaries has proved beneficial in enabling councillors to work together in teams across the two authorities, and to have common contacts with schools, PCSOs and many such examples. This has even been proven to work when the Councillors represent different political parties.



We have considered whether there would be advantages in community terms as to whether the 'pairings' should be changed, but our general view is that changing the pairings from the existing ones would create as many problems as it solves. So we recommend retaining the existing pairings, but on the new Borough Council boundaries, as shown in the Cheltenham map.

We recognise that that both the Borough wards of Charlton Park and College are forecast to be 10% lower in electorate size, compared to the Cheltenham norm in 2028; potentially this means that the combined Charlton Park and College division could be more than 10% lower in electorate size, compared to the County norm in 2029. However, this anomaly is unavoidable to avoid the chaos of splitting up Borough Wards across County Divisions.

Lib Dem Group- Cheltenham Prproposals

Cheltenham proposals

- All Saints and Oakley ED
- Battledown and Charlton Kings ED
- Benhall and Up Hatherley ED
- Charlton Park and College ED
- Hesters Way and Springbank ED
- Lansdown and Park ED
- Leckhampton and Warden Hill ED
- Pittville and Prestbury ED
- St. Mark's and St. Peter's ED
- St. Paul's and Swindon ED





Lib Dem Group Proposals - Costwold



Cotswold Proposed Divisions


- Bourton-on-the-Water and Northleach ED
- Campden-Vale ED
- Cirencester Beeches ED
- Cirencester Park ED
- Fairford and Lechlade on Thames ED
- South Cerney ED
- Stow-on-the-Wold ED
- Tetbury ED
- Electoral Divisions (database)





Lib Dem Group Proposal - Version 5


Tewkesbury Proposal Version 5

- Bishop's Cleeve
- Brockworth
- Churchdown
- Highnam
- Severn Vale
- Tewkesbury
- Tewkesbury East
- Winchcombe and Woodmancote



Proposed Electoral Divisions - Stroud





	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Gloucester Proposed Numbers					
2						
3	Less than 5 % variance					
4	5-10% variance					
5	10-20% variance					
6						
7	Proposed Electoral Division	Projected Electorate 2029				
8	1 - Longlevens	8727	-8%			
9	2 - Kingsholm	9323	-2%			
10	3 - Westgate & Podsmead	9110	-4%			
11	4 - Quedgeley	10409	10%			
12	5 - Grange & Kingsway	9181	-3%			
13	6 - Barton & Tredworth	9063	-5%			
14	7 - Linden & Tuffley	9441	-1%			
15	8 - Coney Hill & Matson	9839	4%			
16	9 - Abbey	9909	4%			
17	10 - Barnwood & Hucclecote	10354	9%			
18						
19						
20						
21						

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Polling district	Proposed Division	Proposed Name	Electorate 2029	
2					
3	L1	1	Longlevens	1649	
4	L2	1	Longlevens	2554	
5	L3	1	Longlevens	1137	
6	L4	1	Longlevens	1409	
7	L5	1	Longlevens	965	
8	E1 A	1	Longlevens	1013	8727
9	E1 B	2	Kingsholm	1013	
10	E2	2	Kingsholm	2398	
11	E3	2	Kingsholm	436	
12	KW1	2	Kingsholm	2221	
13	KW2	2	Kingsholm	1775	
14	KW3	2	Kingsholm	827	
15	W3	2	Kingsholm	653	9323
16	P1	3	Westgate & Podsmead	1229	
17	P2	3	Westgate & Podsmead	1197	
18	W1	3	Westgate & Podsmead	2227	
19	W2	3	Westgate & Podsmead	1989	
20	W4	3	Westgate & Podsmead	2468	9110
21	QFC1	4	Quedgeley	1863	
22	QFC2	4	Quedgeley	2369	
23	QFC3	4	Quedgeley	1360	
24	QSV1	4	Quedgeley	2542	
25	QSV2	4	Quedgeley	2275	10409
26	G1	5	Grange & Kingsway	1386	
27	G2	5	Grange & Kingsway	952	
28	G3	5	Grange & Kingsway	919	
29	G4	5	Grange & Kingsway	1134	
30	G5	5	Grange & Kingsway	782	
31	K1	5	Grange & Kingsway	2454	
32	K2	5	Grange & Kingsway	1554	9181
33	BT1	6	Barton & Tredworth	2199	
34	BT2	6	Barton & Tredworth	1390	
35	BT3	6	Barton & Tredworth	2125	
36	BT4	6	Barton & Tredworth	1522	

37	M1	6	Barton & Tredworth	654	
38	M5	6	Barton & Tredworth	1173	9063
39	M2	6	Linden & Tuffley	760	
40	M3	7	Linden & Tuffley	1713	
41	M4	7	Linden & Tuffley	2566	
42	T1	7	Linden & Tuffley	2433	
43	T2	7	Linden & Tuffley	1969	9441
44	CH1	8	Coney Hill & Matson	1585	
45	CH2	8	Coney Hill & Matson	978	
46	MRW1	8	Coney Hill & Matson	1760	
47	MRW2	8	Coney Hill & Matson	1851	
48	MRW3	8	Coney Hill & Matson	2215	
49	MRW4	8	Coney Hill & Matson	1450	9839
50	AD1	9	Abbey	2286	
51	AD2	9	Abbey	2006	
52	AD3	8	Abbey	880	
53	AM1	9	Abbey	2375	
54	AM2	9	Abbey	2362	9909
55	B1	10	Barnwood & Hucclecote	1526	
56	B2	10	Barnwood & Hucclecote	1662	
57	B3	10	Barnwood & Hucclecote	1293	
58	B4	9	Barnwood & Hucclecote	687	
59	H1	10	Barnwood & Hucclecote	1431	
60	H2	10	Barnwood & Hucclecote	1689	
61	H3	10	Barnwood & Hucclecote	2066	10354
62					
63					
64					
65					

	D	E	F	G	H
1		Electors	Difference to Average	Percent Variance	
2	Cam Valley	10,402	898	9.4%	
3	Dursley	8,893	-631	-7.6%	
4	Hardwicke & Severn	9,260	-244	-2.6%	
5	Minchinhampton	9,106	-398	-4.2%	
6	Nailsworth	8,969	-535	-5.6%	
7	Rodborough	9,080	-424	-4.5%	
8	Stonehouse	9,436	-68	-0.7%	
9	Stroud Central	8,670	-834	-8.8%	
10	Stroud East	9,135	-369	-3.9%	
11	Stroud North	9,430	-74	-0.8%	
12	WUE	8,578	-926	-9.7%	
13					

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
313		HB		Elmore CP			Stroud	Hardwicke	Hardwicke and Severn ED	162	153
314		HC		Hardwicke CP			Stroud	Hardwicke	Hardwicke and Severn ED	3273	3260
315		HD		Harescombe CP			Stroud	Hardwicke	Bisley and Painswick ED	164	141
316		HE		Haresfield CP			Stroud	Hardwicke	Hardwicke and Severn ED	290	324
317		HF		Hunts Grove CP			Stroud	Hardwicke	Hardwicke and Severn ED	1671	3490
318		HG		Longney and Epney CP			Stroud	Hardwicke	Hardwicke and Severn ED	246	248
319		HH		Moreton Valence CP			Stroud	Hardwicke	Hardwicke and Severn ED	164	142
320		HI		Standish CP			Stroud	Hardwicke	Stonehouse ED	301	675
321		KA		Alderley CP			Stroud	Kingswood	Wotton-under-Edge ED	50	39
322		KB		Hillesley and Tresham CP	Hillesley		Stroud	Kingswood	Wotton-under-Edge ED	398	396
323		KC		Hillesley and Tresham CP	Tresham		Stroud	Kingswood	Wotton-under-Edge ED	96	99
324		KD		Kingswood CP			Stroud	Kingswood	Wotton-under-Edge ED	1226	1221
325		MAA		Minchinhampton CP	Box		Stroud	Minchinhampton	Minchinhampton ED	258	260
326		MBB		Minchinhampton CP	Brimscombe		Stroud	Minchinhampton	Minchinhampton ED	545	810
327		MCC		Minchinhampton CP	North		Stroud	Minchinhampton	Minchinhampton ED	2049	2041
328		MDD		Minchinhampton CP	South		Stroud	Minchinhampton	Minchinhampton ED	802	770
329		MEE		Minchinhampton CP	Box		Stroud	Nailsworth	Nailsworth ED	6	5
330		NA		Horsley CP			Stroud	Nailsworth	Nailsworth ED	668	644
331		NB		Nailsworth CP			Stroud	Nailsworth	Nailsworth ED	2136	2131
332		NC		Nailsworth CP			Stroud	Nailsworth	Nailsworth ED	1407	1371
333		ND		Nailsworth CP			Stroud	Nailsworth	Nailsworth ED	946	961
334		PUA		Cranham CP			Stroud	Painswick & Upton	Bisley and Painswick ED	411	409
335		PUB		Miserden CP			Stroud	Painswick & Upton	Bisley and Painswick ED	395	393
336		PUC		Painswick CP	Edge		Stroud	Painswick & Upton	Bisley and Painswick ED	158	155
337		PUD		Painswick CP	Painswick		Stroud	Painswick & Upton	Bisley and Painswick ED	1804	1771
338		PUE		Painswick CP	Sheepscombe		Stroud	Painswick & Upton	Bisley and Painswick ED	375	386
339		PUF		Painswick CP	Slad		Stroud	Painswick & Upton	Bisley and Painswick ED	238	224
340		PUG		Pitchcombe CP			Stroud	Painswick & Upton	Bisley and Painswick ED	228	238
341		PUH		Upton St. Leonards CP			Stroud	Painswick & Upton	Bisley and Painswick ED	1265	1276
342		PUI		Upton St. Leonards CP			Stroud	Painswick & Upton	Bisley and Painswick ED	1034	1030
343		RA		Rodborough CP	North		Stroud	Rodborough	Rodborough ED	1084	1069
344		RB		Rodborough CP	North		Stroud	Rodborough	Rodborough ED	371	417
345		RC		Rodborough CP	South		Stroud	Rodborough	Rodborough ED	234	224
346		RD		Rodborough CP	South		Stroud	Rodborough	Rodborough ED	185	189
347		RE		Rodborough CP	West		Stroud	Rodborough	Rodborough ED	959	943
348		RF		Rodborough CP	West		Stroud	Rodborough	Rodborough ED	316	296
349		RG		Rodborough CP	West		Stroud	Rodborough	Rodborough ED	325	321
350		RWRA		Randwick and Westrip CP	South East		Stroud	Randwick, Whiteshill & Ru: Rodborough ED		311	310
351		RWRB		Randwick and Westrip CP	Randwick		Stroud	Randwick, Whiteshill & Ru: Bisley and Painswick ED		480	470

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
352		RWRC		Whiteshill and Ruscombe CP			Stroud	Randwick, Whiteshill & Ru	Bisley and Painswick ED	942	918	
353		SA		Arlingham CP			Stroud	Severn	Hardwicke and Severn ED	379	363	
354		SB		Frampton on Severn CP			Stroud	Severn	Hardwicke and Severn ED	1101	1122	
355		SC		Fretherne with Saul CP	Fretherne		Stroud	Severn	Hardwicke and Severn ED	177	187	
356		SD		Fretherne with Saul CP	Saul		Stroud	Severn	Hardwicke and Severn ED	379	379	
357		SE		Eastington CP			Stroud	Severn	Stonehouse ED	2140	3549	
358		SF		Whitminster CP			Stroud	Severn	Hardwicke and Severn ED	736	733	
359		STA		Stonehouse CP	Stonehouse		Stroud	Stonehouse	Stonehouse ED	1611	1678	
360		STB		Stonehouse CP	Stonehouse		Stroud	Stonehouse	Stonehouse ED	1615	1586	
361		STC		Stonehouse CP	Stonehouse		Stroud	Stonehouse	Stonehouse ED	2556	2511	
362		STCA		Stroud CP	Central		Stroud	Stroud Central	Stroud Central ED	711	750	
363		STCB		Stroud CP	Central		Stroud	Stroud Central	Stroud Central ED	438	437	
364		STCC		Stroud CP	Central		Stroud	Stroud Central	Stroud Central ED	595	627	
365		STD		Stonehouse CP	Ryeford		Stroud	Stonehouse	Stonehouse ED	114	112	
366		STFA		Stroud CP	Farmhill and Paganhill		Stroud	Stroud Farmhill & Paganhil	Stroud Central ED	1884	1927	
367		STSA		Stroud CP	Slade		Stroud	Stroud Slade	Stroud Central ED	573	556	
368		STSB		Stroud CP	Slade		Stroud	Stroud Slade	Stroud Central ED	1129	1071	
369		STTA		Stroud CP	Trinity		Stroud	Stroud Trinity	Stroud Central ED	1611	1552	
370		STUA		Stroud CP	Uplands		Stroud	Stroud Uplands	Stroud Central ED	1619	1585	
371		STVA		Stroud CP	Valley		Stroud	Stroud Valley	Stroud Central ED	998	970	
372		STVB		Stroud CP	Valley		Stroud	Stroud Valley	Stroud Central ED	725	747	
373		TA		Rodborough CP	Butterow		Stroud	Thrupp	Rodborough ED	645	624	
374		TB		Brimscombe and Thrupp C	Brimscombe & Thrupp		Stroud	Thrupp	Minchinhampton ED	740	922	
375		TC		Brimscombe and Thrupp C	Brimscombe & Thrupp		Stroud	Thrupp	Minchinhampton ED	546	886	
376		TSA		Frocester CP			Stroud	The Stanleys	Dursley ED	117	65	
377		TSB		King's Stanley CP			Stroud	The Stanleys	Nailsworth ED	1716	1709	
378		TSC		King's Stanley CP			Stroud	The Stanleys	Nailsworth ED	367	391	
379		TSD		Leonard Stanley CP			Stroud	The Stanleys	Dursley ED	1506	1500	
380		WUEA		North Nibley CP			Stroud	Wotton-under-Edge	Wotton-under-Edge ED	673	675	
381		WUEB		Stinchcombe CP			Stroud	Wotton-under-Edge	Wotton-under-Edge ED	369	341	
382		WUEC		Wotton-under-Edge CP			Stroud	Wotton-under-Edge	Wotton-under-Edge ED	2449	2473	
383		WUED		Wotton-under-Edge CP			Stroud	Wotton-under-Edge	Wotton-under-Edge ED	2017	2009	

	A	B	C
1	Tewkesbury Proposed Numbers		
2			
3	Less than 5 % variance		
4	5-10% variance		
5	10-20% variance		
6			
7		Projected Electorate 2029	
8	Bishop's Cleeve	11334	19.3% variance
9	Brockworth	10200	7.3% variance
10	Churchdown	9702	2.1% variance
11	Highnam	9165	-3.6% variance
12	Severn Vale	9348	-1.6% variance
13	Tewkesbury	10293	8.3% variance
14	Tewkesbury East	10255	7.9% variance
15	Winchcombe and Woodmancote	10599	11.5% variance
16			
17			

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
1	Polling district	Parish	Parish ward	District	Current Division	Proposed division	2029 Proposed Electors	
2	BCM1		Bishops Cleeve CP	Cleeve St Michaels	Bishop's Cleeve ED	Bishop's Cleeve	1336	
3	BCM2		Bishops Cleeve CP	Cleeve St Michaels	Bishop's Cleeve ED	Bishop's Cleeve	3104	
4	BCW1		Bishops Cleeve CP	Cleeve West	Bishop's Cleeve ED	Bishop's Cleeve	3545	
5	BCW2		Bishops Cleeve CP	Cleeve West	Bishop's Cleeve ED	Bishop's Cleeve	385	
6	BCW3		Bishops Cleeve CP	Cleeve West	Bishop's Cleeve ED	Bishop's Cleeve	573	
7	BCW4		Bishops Cleeve CP	Cleeve West	Bishop's Cleeve ED	Bishop's Cleeve	127	
8	BCG1		Bishops Cleeve CP	Cleeve Grange	Bishop's Cleeve ED	Bishop's Cleeve	577	
9	BBN		Badgeworth CP	Bentham	Brockworth ED	Brockworth	590	
10	BRE1		Brockworth CP	Brockworth East	Brockworth ED	Brockworth	1846	
11	BRE2		Brockworth CP	Brockworth East	Brockworth ED	Brockworth	1034	
12	BRE3		Brockworth CP	Brockworth East	Brockworth ED	Brockworth	482	
13	BRW1		Brockworth CP	Brockworth West	Brockworth ED	Brockworth	3220	
14	BRW2		Brockworth CP	Brockworth West	Brockworth ED	Brockworth	1246	
15	GRW		Great Witcombe CP		Brockworth ED	Brockworth	71	
16	HCC1		Hucclecote CP		Brockworth ED	Brockworth	1711	
17	CHB		Churchdown CP	Churchdown Brookfiel	Churchdown ED	Churchdown	3215	
18	CHJ1		Churchdown CP	Churchdown St Johns	Churchdown ED	Churchdown	1948	
19	CHJ2		Churchdown CP	Churchdown St Johns	Churchdown ED	Churchdown	1540	
20	CHJ3		Churchdown CP	Churchdown St Johns	Churchdown ED	Churchdown	2237	
21	CHJ4		Churchdown CP	Churchdown St Johns	Churchdown ED	Churchdown	292	
22	HCC2		Hucclecote CP		Brockworth ED	Churchdown	470	
23	DHY		Down Hatherley CP		Highnam ED	Highnam	406	
24	HGM		Highnam CP		Highnam ED	Highnam	1705	
25	INS		Innsworth CP		Highnam ED	Highnam	3140	
26	LNG		Longford CP		Highnam ED	Highnam	1409	
27	MSM		Maisemore CP		Highnam ED	Highnam	450	
28	MST		Minsterworth CP		Highnam ED	Highnam	459	
29	SND		Sandhurst CP		Highnam ED	Highnam	429	
30	STV		Staverton CP		Highnam ED	Severn Vale	541	
31	TWG		Twigworth CP		Highnam ED	Highnam	1167	
32	ALW		Ashleworth CP		Highnam ED	Severn Vale	504	
33	BBG		Badgeworth CP	Badgeworth	Brockworth ED	Severn Vale	1211	
34	BCG		Bishops Cleeve CP	Cleeve Grange	Bishop's Cleeve ED	Bishop's Cleeve	1687	
35	BDD		Boddington CP		Highnam ED	Severn Vale	236	
36	CHY		Chaceley CP		Highnam ED	Severn Vale	124	
37	DRH		Deerhurst CP		Highnam ED	Severn Vale	784	
38	ELH		Elmstone Hardwicke CP		Highnam ED	Severn Vale	232	
39	FTH		Forthampton CP		Highnam ED	Severn Vale	127	
40	GTH		Gotherington CP		Bishop's Cleeve ED	Severn Vale	1034	
41	HSF		Hasfield CP		Highnam ED	Severn Vale	113	
42	LGH		Leigh CP		Highnam ED	Severn Vale	370	
43	NRT		Norton CP		Highnam ED	Severn Vale	519	
44	SHD		Shurdington CP		Brockworth ED	Severn Vale	1987	
45	STO		Stoke Orchard & Tredington CP		Bishop's Cleeve ED	Severn Vale	786	

46	TRL	Tirley CP		Highnam ED	Severn Vale	344
47	UCK	Uckington CP		Highnam ED	Severn Vale	436
48	TNT1	Tewkesbury CP	Tewkesbury North	Tewkesbury ED	Tewkesbury	1697
49	TNT2	Tewkesbury CP	Tewkesbury North	Tewkesbury ED	Tewkesbury	1007
50	TNT3	Tewkesbury CP	Tewkesbury North	Tewkesbury ED	Tewkesbury	98
51	TSH1	Tewkesbury CP	Tewkesbury South	Tewkesbury ED	Tewkesbury	1253
52	TSH2	Tewkesbury CP	Tewkesbury South	Tewkesbury ED	Tewkesbury	1921
53	TSH3	Tewkesbury CP	Tewkesbury South	Tewkesbury ED	Tewkesbury	1067
54	TTN	Tewkesbury CP	Tewkesbury Newtown	Tewkesbury East ED	Tewkesbury	1512
55	TWY	Twynning CP		Tewkesbury ED	Tewkesbury	1738
56	ARL3	Ashchurch Rural CP	Ashchurch Rural	Tewkesbury East ED	Tewkesbury East	270
57	ARL1	Ashchurch Rural CP	Ashchurch Rural	Tewkesbury East ED	Tewkesbury East	2703
58	ARL2	Ashchurch Rural CP	Walton Cardiff	Tewkesbury East ED	Tewkesbury East	35
59	NOR1	Northway CP		Tewkesbury East ED	Tewkesbury East	1916
60	NOR2	Northway CP		Tewkesbury East ED	Tewkesbury East	1854
61	OXT	Oxenton CP		Bishop's Cleeve ED	Tewkesbury East	203
62	TED	Teddington CP		Tewkesbury East ED	Tewkesbury East	365
63	WTC	Wheatpieces CP		Tewkesbury East ED	Tewkesbury East	2909
64	ALD	Alderton CP		Winchcombe and Woodmancote EI	Winchcombe and Woodmancote	768
65	BCD	Buckland CP		Winchcombe and Woodmancote EI	Winchcombe and Woodmancote	197
66	DMB1	Dumbleton CP		Winchcombe and Woodmancote EI	Winchcombe and Woodmancote	465
67	DMB2	Dumbleton CP		Winchcombe and Woodmancote EI	Winchcombe and Woodmancote	78
68	GRT	Gretton CP		Winchcombe and Woodmancote EI	Winchcombe and Woodmancote	415
69	HWG	Hawling CP		Winchcombe and Woodmancote EI	Winchcombe and Woodmancote	122
70	PRS	Prescott CP		Winchcombe and Woodmancote EI	Winchcombe and Woodmancote	94
71	SNH	Snowhill CP		Winchcombe and Woodmancote EI	Winchcombe and Woodmancote	123
72	STH1	Southam CP		Winchcombe and Woodmancote EI	Winchcombe and Woodmancote	610
73	STH2	Southam CP		Winchcombe and Woodmancote EI	Winchcombe and Woodmancote	287
74	STN	Stanton CP		Winchcombe and Woodmancote EI	Winchcombe and Woodmancote	146
75	STW	Stanway CP		Winchcombe and Woodmancote EI	Winchcombe and Woodmancote	275
76	SDY	Sudeley CP		Winchcombe and Woodmancote EI	Winchcombe and Woodmancote	71
77	TOD	Toddington CP		Winchcombe and Woodmancote EI	Winchcombe and Woodmancote	416
78	WNC1	Winchcombe CP		Winchcombe and Woodmancote EI	Winchcombe and Woodmancote	1797
79	WNC2	Winchcombe CP		Winchcombe and Woodmancote EI	Winchcombe and Woodmancote	2421
80	WDM	Woodmancote CP		Winchcombe and Woodmancote EI	Winchcombe and Woodmancote	2314
81						