

# Worcester

## Personal Details:

Name: [REDACTED]  
Email: [REDACTED]  
Postcode: [REDACTED]  
Organisation Name: (Member of the public)

## Comment text:

**Related subject:** Battenhall & Nunnery wood

In your draft proposal, you state "Nevertheless, we note that residents in the Cromwell Crescent area of the existing Battenhall ward, east of the railway line, appear somewhat isolated from the rest of the ward. " Living in this part of the ward, I totally disagree with this statement. I feel that I have a closer connection to Battenhall than I do with Nunnery Ward, and use far more of the facilities within Battenhall than I do in Nunnery, including Battenhall Park. The excuse of using the railway line as a boundary mark is wrong as it doesn't have a big impact on dividing us from the rest of the area as you either cross it over a bridge going down London Road, (and potentially wouldn't even know the railway line was there as not visible from the road) or by walking under a bridge at the end of the footpath at the bottom of Red Hill Lane. This is a very well used walkway by people. I feel that if we were moved into Nunnery ward, the footpath running along beside the railway line from London Road to Battenhall Park would be ignored.

I believe that there have been no problems raised from the residents of this part of the ward about being not represented by Battenhall. In fact, personally, our local councillors, regularly visit or put a letter out to about their work in the area. I feel that they are very approachable and would listen to any issues that might arise.

I feel the council should stop wasting time and money on changing boundaries where problems don't exist and should instead be helping solve the cost of living problems.

## Attached Documents:

*None attached*