

## Tunbridge Wells Borough Council

### Personal Details:

Name:

E-mail:

Postcode:

Organisation Name:

### Comment text:

This comment relates to the proposed boundary changes around Paddock Wood. Whilst I can appreciate your problem in relation to the ratio of councilors to population size the proposals it makes no sense to divorce the boundary designation from the physical population relationships. Specifically designating one of the three new Paddock Wood developments to be a part of Capel parish means that it is proposing to consign the interests of those new residents actually living in Paddock Wood to the dubious care of a councillor whose main focus of interest is many miles distant from them - they simply won't be fairly represented! The more appropriate boundary between Paddock Wood and Capel to fairly relate to the identity of current and future residents of Paddock Wood and its surrounding development over time would be along the line of the A228 main road on the west of the Town and the Crittenden Road on the South edge. These physical transport links represent a more sensible designation which will ensure that current and future development of the outlying parts of the existing Paddock Wood Town remain linked physically and representationally together. Any other boundary lines would mean that parts of this homogenous electorate would be arbitrarily excised and lumped in with other electorates with which they have no common relationship at all. In this respect the present proposals make no political sense at all.

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded