

## Shropshire Council

### Personal Details:

Name:

E-mail:

Postcode:

Organisation Name:

### Comment text:

Other than the necessary changes that will need to be made with regards to evening up populations sizes in each division, there are two existing divisions that, in my humble opinion, need to be addressed. The first is the Loton division which covers disparate communities that are not well linked and are separated by a bridgeless section of the River Severn. The second division is Tern, which links satellite settlements predominantly to the north, east and west of Shrewsbury. Villages such as Upton Magna have very little in common with village such as Bicton or Bomere Heath, furthermore Bicton and surrounding areas are cut off from the rest of the division by a bridgeless Severn. It seems apparent that the divisions in SW Shropshire will need some rearranging as their populations are a little too small at present. I would consider dividing the Loton division using the Severn as a boundary, with the southern communities (Yockleton, Vennington, Halfway House etc) being paired with communities around Minsterley, Pontesbury or Chirbury. Meanwhile, the northern settlements (Nesscliffe, Little Ness, Great Ness etc) could be paired with Bicton and associated settlements south of the Severn from the Tern Division. The remaining portions of Tern could be easily paired with parts of Shawbury or The Meres divisions, which may need some readjustment due to oversized divisions such as Prees in the north.

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded