

Stockton-on-Tees Borough Council

Personal Details:



There are several areas of concern with this current draft. Firstly, this further consultation has introduced the 'need' for a 57th Councillor, as this is a limited consultation it has made a mockery of averaging out the average number of electorate per Councillor, as the whole Borough is not being considered here. In addition, it is another allowance to be paid out of our Council Tax. Secondly, the first draft recommendations give a better internal balance to the Southern Parishes Ward. Hilton and Maltby villages are much smaller in electoral numbers, especially if you split Maltby Parish, taking Little Maltby Farm away from Maltby village, as in this current proposal, leading to concerns about proportional representation by the successful Councillor. To my knowledge, the residents in the developments south of Green Lane associate themselves with Yarm rather than Kirklevington. This review will not affect which Council receives their precept, but who is to represent them - a Councillor who represents the town where they feel they live or a Councillor who represents villages, two of which are outlying villages. With regard to Ingleby Barwick, most residents associate with the area of the town they live in rather than the Ward, therefore the division of the Wards is less important. North/South works equally well as East/West. I recommend that the Commission go back to Draft one.

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded