



3 April 2022

Review Officer (Maidstone) LGBCE,  
PO Box 133,  
Blyth,  
NE24 9FE

Dear Sirs

**Local Government Boundary Commission – Maidstone Consultation**

I wish to express my concern over the suggestion from Maidstone Borough Council that Harrietsham and Lenham ward is significantly altered.

The suggestion includes enlarging the ward in one direction while cutting it off in another and this would completely alter the representation we have enjoyed for several years.

Lenham and Harrietsham ward is currently served by two elected Members at Maidstone Borough Council.

In my view this works out well in terms of community building as the two parishes, Lenham and Harrietsham, sit on the eastern boundary of Maidstone borough distinct from the other major settlements, such as Headcorn 6 miles to the south, and share much common infrastructure in terms of services, for example:

- Both have been designated in the 2017 Maidstone Local Plan as Rural Service Centres
- Both are in the same Kent County Council Member Division and are served by the same KCC councillor
- Both are covered by the same County Council Community Warden
- Both form part of the same Kent Police area and so are served by known and shared Police Community Support Officers
- Both are covered by Len Valley Practice, the part of the Ridge Primary Care Network, with the primary surgery at Groom Way Lenham and a secondary surgery at the Glebe Medical Centre in Harrietsham

Aside from these shared statutory services, which make continuity a strong facet of the distinct communities of both parishes, many of the organisations that run for both young and old, involve residents from both parishes. The football club, the cricket club, Young at Heart, community hub club, Dance club, Ballet School, Scouting and Guiding movement are but some of the examples of joint enterprises.

Additionally, the one secondary school serves both parishes and beyond, including pupils from Maidstone and Ashford.

The current elected members are completely integrated within the fabric of the ward they represent. When the COVID situation emerged they were there, organising local volunteers to deliver food and help people get their medication under lockdown. That is but one recent example of how they constantly strive to serve this part of the borough.

I support the suggestion made by Lenham Parish council that parts of North Downs Ward adjacent to and north of Lenham and Harrietsham villages, consisting of the settlements of Otterden, Wichling, Frinsted and Wormshill which are currently in North Downs Ward, could be incorporated into an enhanced Lenham and Harrietsham ward. These areas adjacent to both villages already associate themselves strongly with the two villages. This change would further align the areas of the AONB from the North Downs into the enlarged ward footprint, providing additional enhancement for tourism and greater cohesion for the communities within them.

The changes suggested by Maidstone Borough Council are also not fit for the future. Maidstone Borough Council, as developer, proposes a new garden town in Lenham Heath. It is at the moment completely undecided whether this scheme will go ahead. If it goes ahead, the Maidstone BC proposed border, will go through the new development. However, in any case, if the garden town (5000 homes) goes ahead, it will be so large, that it will require yet another ward and the concept of this 'Headcorn Ward' will be obsolete within a few years.

The suggestion made by Maidstone Borough Council assigns the Parish of Lenham to two different wards. This is, in my opinion, unnecessary and is in stark conflict with the strong sense of identity to be found in the parish.

This is a further example by the officers of Maidstone Borough Council of their attempts to try to prevent local residents protests against the proposed Heathlands garden town development in Lenham Heath and their suggested boundary changes should be strongly rejected.

Yours faithfully

A solid black rectangular box used to redact a signature.