

## Gillespie, Matthew

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 16 August 2021 20:18  
**To:** reviews  
**Subject:** Penn ward Boundaries review

**Categories:** Submissions, Matt

To whom it may concern,

I have been a resident of the Penn Ward on Coalway Road for more than 40 years and believe that there is on the review to be rebounded from Penn to Graiseley.

I would like to object to this motion and please find below reasons for my objection.

1. Penn Ward boundaries should be maintained as they currently are. Penn Ward has similarities with Merry Hill, Tettenhall Regis and Tettenhall Wightwick and these wards are already recommended by LGBCE and local council to remain as they are.
2. The test around clear boundaries is definitely compromised as relinquishing both sides of Coalway Road to Graiseley, and taking both sides of Goldthorn Hill and Coton Road to Blakenhall leaves Penn Ward no strong, clearly-identifiable boundaries.
3. The other test around 'reflecting community identity, has been ignored. Penn Ward will lose it's well-loved and only Catholic Church in our community. St Michael's Church at the moment comes under the Penn Ward and a lot of Penn residents are proud of this local community church.
4. Average number of electors in a Ward per councillor is 3,715 the proposal to maintain Penn Ward as it is, will still leave Councillors with electors within the range of 10% of the average electors over the forecast period of 2026.
5. Penn Ward has some lovely shops like Tantastic and all the other shops around it on the corner of Coalway Road. These shops are well used by local people and these nice unique shops are part of the 'Character of Penn Ward'. This community shopping area fits in well with Penn ward. Graiseley already has its own community shopping areas.

Thank you for your time and look forward to receiving an acknowledgement that this email has been registered as an objection to this review.

--

Kind Regards

[REDACTED]