

## Gillespie, Matthew

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 07 September 2021 15:30  
**To:** reviews  
**Subject:** Objection to Penn boundary changes!  
**Categories:** Submissions, Matt

Dear LGBCE,

I stonefly object to the new proposed boundary lines put forward by the boundary commission. My points are below;

1. The test around clear boundaries is definitely compromised, the new proposal will leave Penn Ward no strong, clearly-identifiable boundaries.
2. The other test around 'reflecting community identity, has been ignored. Penn Ward will lose it's well-loved and only Catholic Church in our community.
3. Average number of electors in a Ward per Councillor is 3,175 with 10% allowance over forecast period of 2026. Penn Ward is within this recommendation.
4. Penn Ward has similarities with Merry Hill, Tettenhal Regis and Tettenhal Wightwick and these three Wards are recommended to stay the same. So SHOULD Penn Ward Boundaries.

I hope this change is shelved and for the reasons above the current boundary lines for Penn are maintained.

Kind Regards

---

[REDACTED]

Penn Resident

[REDACTED]