

Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

Dear Sir/Madam,

I have been a councillor in Grangefield ward since May 2011 (originally with my husband Michael, who sadly died in June 2016).

I have been a resident in Grangefield since March 1981 and live with my family here.

I am chair of Grange Estates Community Association and also a member of the board of Onsite which manages amongst others Grangefield Community Centre.

I fully support the proposals for Grangefield ward.

Grangefield is a distinct community and the proposals take that into account. I also support Newtown, Bishopsgarth and Elmtree and Fairfield as they are only minor changes from current boundaries.

This keeps our community intact and it takes into account views of residents.

I have collected 60 letters from residents who support the proposals and this is attached in PDF.

These are residents from across the whole of the ward from Whitton Road to Grays Road.

I am aware that a small number of people from the Whitton Road area would prefer to be in Fairfield but such changes would make Fairfield too big and Grangefield too small, so more wards would need to be changed which would break up clear community boundaries.

Many people from Whitton Road area do identify as Grangefield which is why they have written letters of support and they have also been part of Grangefield ward since 2005 and have close ties to the rest of the ward. They are close to Grangefield Community Centre and Grangefield Academy and it makes sense for the area to stay in Grangefield ward. Fairfield councillors did not ask for it and it is not a fundamental part of Fairfield.

The proposals have overwhelming support from the vast majority of residents and gives community identity, a good degree of continuity and clear and identifiable boundaries.

Carol Clark,

Resident and councillor for Grangefield ward

Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition

We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.

Name

[Redacted signature area]

[Redacted line]

[Redacted line]

[Redacted line]

[Redacted line]

Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition

We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park


We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big

step towards the first test of proposals



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition

We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.

Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition

We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.

Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition

We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition

We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.

Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition

We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

- We use the same buses

- We use the same shops

- We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

- We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


- We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

- We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition

We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.

Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees
Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition


We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition

We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.

N
A
S



Ward Boundary Review – Stockton on Tees

Single Member Grangefield ward

I support your proposal for the single member Grangefield ward. The boundaries are clear and the ward is one coherent community. The ward is the right size so representation is equal across the council.

The people in the proposed ward have all been in the same ward for over 15 years so it is continuing what we already know.

We have lots in common:

We use the same buses

We use the same shops

We are all part of the Grangefield Community council

We all take part in the Grangefield Garden competition

We all have access to the cycle path and Grangefield Park

We all have access to Grangefield Community Centre.

We share a single identity as Grangefield

The proposals for Fairfield, Newtown and Bishopsgarth and Elm Tree are all sensible. They each represent a distinctive area, the boundaries are clear and there is continuity by keeping boundaries similar to the old boundaries to a large degree.

Your proposals certainly meet the test of reflecting community identity. They are a big improvement on the first set of proposals.

