

## Buckinghamshire Council

### Personal Details:

[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

### Organisation Name:

### Comment text:

With the current costs of Parliament, it would be unwise to split the current seat of Beaconsfield. By doing so, we increase the tax burden on an already over stretched voting public as well as showing that the current methodologies are not working. The costs associated will at the very least double as well as frustrating the voting public into believing there is 'gerrymandering' a foot as is being seen by the local electorate as a waste of our money. What is needed is for the boundaries to remain the same and fulfil what is required from our voted members and not time wasting in trying to divide the Town. The UK is currently divided into 650 parliamentary constituencies, each represented by one MP in the House of Commons and already the house of parliament are cost the taxpayer in 2018/19 the cost was £560.4 million, the extra seats will only add to this, as will the expense burden, again carried by the poor tax payer. (in 2020/21) almost £132M and in most cases this does not include 'second jobs', lobby funds etc). To add the expected 100+ seats will impact on both these numbers and where will the MP's sit? Will there be more funds to expand the existing house? While we may need to change, it is a belief from the voting public that we should be able to manage properly the costs associated with the current numbers. To add more, in this current climate will only enfuse more derision and frustration from the public, as well as increasing the current money we are spending in running our administrations.

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded