

North Hertfordshire District Council

Personal Details:

Name:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Organisation Name: N/a

Comment text:

North Herts will shortly be moving to an election model that will see all of their councillors elected at the same time. Given this, why are three councillors needed for each ward? Surely it would be more efficient and cheaper to have just one councillor for each ward - after all, we have just one councillor for each county council division, and one MP per constituency, and that works well. Or - if 50 councillors are really needed - could we just have more smaller wards, ideally one councillor for each ward? Some of the rural division divisions are huge - why could Weston and Sandon not be broken up so as to give Weston, Rushden and Sandon their own councillors. They are different communities with different interests and needs. Similarly, break up Arbury into at least Bygrave, and maybe west and east Ashwell. In Hitchwood, Whitwell will have very different interests and concerns to Hexton or St Ippolyts. And so on. If we have to have so many councillors, use those numbers to allow the smaller communities in the district to be heard and represented.

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded