

## Wolverhampton City Council

### Personal Details:

Name:

[REDACTED]

E-mail:

[REDACTED]

Postcode:

Wv3 [REDACTED]

Organisation Name:

### Comment text:

Dear Sir/Madam Coalway road should remain in the Penn Ward boundary, I cannot see any clear logical reasoning as why it should fall under Graiseley. Penn Ward boundary should be maintained as it is currently. Merry Hill, Tettenhall Regis and Tettenhall Wightwick, have similarities with Penn Ward for a very long time and yet these 3 wards have been recommended by LGBCE and local council to remain as they are, therefore Coalway Road should remain in Penn Ward. I cannot see any clear reasoning as to why they can remain and my location removed. You are clearly compromising the boundaries; you are relinquishing both sides of Coalway Road to Graiseley and leaving Penn Ward no strong by also taking both sides of Goldthorn Hill and Coton Road to Blakenhall, clearly identifiable boundaries. Penn Ward has its own established community, which is being ignored especially the only Catholic Church, St Michaels that comes under Penn Ward. You should not be ignoring the fact that a number of Penn residents attend the Catholic Church on a regular basis. Consideration should be taken also for fact that average numbers of electors in the Ward per councillor is 3,175 for the proposal to maintain Penn Ward as it is and it will still leave Councillors with electors within range of 10% of the average electors over the forecast period of 2026. Penn Ward has built up a strong community not only from social aspects but by the number of local shops around it and especially at the corner of Coalway Road, that have made their own mark over the years and are very much used by local people. Just like Graiseley have built their community so have we in Penn Ward, and this should not be ignored.

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded