

Wolverhampton City Council[cookies settings](#)

No, give me more information

Accept all cookies**Personal Details:** about cookies**Name:****E-mail:****Postcode:**wv4 **Organisation Name:****Comment text:**

Penn warn boundaries should be maintained as they currently are, Penn ward had similarities with Tettenhall Regis and Tettenhall Wightwick and these three wards are already recommended by the LGBCE and local council to remain as they are. The test around clear boundaries is definitely compromised as relinquishing both sides of Coalway Road to Graislely and taking both sides of Goldthorn Hill and Coton Road to Blakenhall leaves Penn ward with no strong clearly identifiable boundaries. The average number of electors in a warn per Councillor is 3175 the proposal to maintain Penn warn as it is will still leave councillors with electors with the range of 10 percent of thr average electors over the forecast period of 2026. The shops at the bottom of Coalway Road are well used by local people and myself, these are unique shops that are apart of the unique 'character of Penn'. This community shopping area fits in well with the Penn warn, Graislely already has its own shopping areas. I strongly appose the proposed boundary lines, there is no real need for this in the Penn warn as has already been established for Tettenhall, why is Tettenhall any different to Penn, I purchased by House to be in Penn NOT Graislely and it Is unfair to make this decision on my behalf.

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded