

Wolverhampton City Council

[Cookie settings](#)

**Accept all cookies**

**Personal Details:**

**Name:**

[REDACTED]

**E-mail:**

[REDACTED]

**Postcode:**

WV4 [REDACTED]

**Organisation Name:**

**Comment text:**

I would like to comment on the Boundary Commission proposals to alter the boundaries of Penn ward in Wolverhampton. In my view the Commission's proposal fail two of its tests without giving any significant benefit to election process. The Commission's guidance suggests that boundaries should be clear, easily identifiable and relate to the patterns of roads and respect local communities. The current Penn ward boundaries do exactly that. The proposals suggest muddled boundaries wiggle that appear to along back garden fences along Coalway Road, Goldthorn Hill and Coton Road. The current boundaries are clear, locally understood and reflect the local communities. I would strongly support retaining the current boundaries. No one on the south side of Coalway Road would regard themselves as living in Graiseley. St Michael's Church and the shops at the corner of Coalway Road and Warstones Road are part of Penn. Again this suggests that the current boundaries are a much better reflection of the local community understanding than the Boundary Commission proposals. I hope that for the above reason the Commission will not proceed with its muddled proposals and will retain the current Penn ward boundaries which are clear, easily identifiable and reflect the local community.

**Uploaded Documents:**

None Uploaded