

Wolverhampton City Council[Cookie settings](#)**Accept all cookies****Personal Details:****Name:**

[REDACTED]

E-mail:

[REDACTED]

Postcode:

WV4

[REDACTED]

Organisation Name:**Comment text:**

I am not entirely sure why there is a need to move a Penn boundary to exclude one road (Coalway)? This does make for a rather messy boundary to the ward for what would seem very little gain except to upset residents who had thought they were part of Penn and who will still primarily use the services (library, shops, schools) within the remaining ward. Similarly Penn residents will still use services (churches, shops etc.) that will move to Graiseley. Inhabitants will not change in their use of these community services because they are local to them. This makes voting on local issues extremely complicated and somewhat skews results as firstly, day to day experience and service use will not accurately reflect election results and secondly, people will not vote (travel further to do so; not interested because of the change; disadvantaged because the more vulnerable members of the community do not utilise postal voting etc.) If you want to increase disenfranchised voters then this is surely the way to do so. I think that all of the above reasons prove that this proposal fails with regards to the tests of clear boundaries and reflection of community identity.

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded