

Gregory, Eleanor

From: Gregory, Eleanor
Sent: 24 August 2010 12:59
To: Murphy, Sarah
Subject: FW: Electoral Review of Rugby Borough Council

-----Original Message-----

From: David Williams [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 August 2010 11:53
To: Reviews@
Subject: Electoral Review of Rugby Borough Council

Re: Rugby Borough Council - JB Polling District - Borough Ward: OVERSLADE

Thank you for your leaflet "Your Council is changing" referring to Rugby Borough Council. I add one or two comments as follows:

"How many councillors should there be?" - There appears to be the right number at this time. Certainly there should not be fewer. The councillors deal well with individual matters very well but seem to have little time for groups covering wider areas like 'The Residents' Association and the Community Association.

Referring specifically to this polling district. Could the following dwellings be reinstated to this district JB?

Buchanan Road nos: 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11. (All the other houses up to 120 are in JB)

Wentworth Road nos: 166, 168, 170, 172, 174, 176 (All the other houses up to 164 are in JB)

For some reason, presumably numbers, these dwellings have been put in a neighbouring ward. This move makes no sense geographically, socially or for convenience. They are on the opposite side of the main road, the opposite side of the stream and the other side of the hill from the majority of the neighbouring ward. It causes confusion as the people concerned can't understand why they are separated from their neighbours and have to vote at a different polling station. They often miss out on information they need, get information that doesn't apply to them or receive duplicate but contradictory material. The number of voters concerned can't make all that much difference - particularly as there has been a significant housing development between their location and the neighbouring ward.

I trust you will consider this matter. The local councillors just blame the boundaries commission!

David Williams, [REDACTED]