

## Lewes District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** Damian Mooncie  
**E-mail:** [REDACTED]  
**Postcode:** [REDACTED]  
**Organisation Name:** Glynde & Beddingham Parish Council

### Comment text:

Glynde & Beddingham Parish Council (G&BPC) agreed to object to the proposed Boundary Commission changes on the basis that:

- Electoral Equality:
  - 1.1. The impact of the proposed placing of Beddingham Parish within the ward of Newhaven North severs the single local authority of Glynde & Beddingham Parish Councils 'combined' electorate into two different wards
  - 1.2. That G&BPC have been requesting of Lewes District Council (since 04/06/2014) to support a Community Governance Review to formally group the two parish councils as one common parish council, in this regard the proposed ward of Newhaven North would formally separate the two parishes and render it impossible to form the single local authority area as resolved by G&BPC (Resolution 069 (item 11.01 | 28/05/14)
- Community Identity:
  - 2.1. Transport Links: That the proposed demarcation of the area places Beddingham Parish electorate outside the area that its transport links correspond too [i]rail link from Eastbourne to Lewes [ii] bus services to Lewes not Newhaven
  - 2.2. Community Area: That the Beddingham Parish Council and electorate activity work with the offices of Highways England, SDNPA, ESCC, LDC concerning matters local to its geographic area and rarely communicate with Newhaven focuse groups
  - 2.2.1. That the Beddingham electorate use all the facilities of Ringmer and Lewes rather than Newhaven due to geographic distance
  - 2.2.2. The common issues of the Beddingham electorate are focused towards Lewes and its local area and do not extend towards Newhaven
- Effective Local Government:
  - 3.1. The proposed placing of Beddingham Parish within the Newhaven North ward would dilute the mandate of G&BPC to fulfil its purpose of representing the wellbeing of its electorate and will formally partition the community which recognises itself as one whole into two distinctly different political areas, and the proposed would mean that G&BPC would be served by two different District Councillors. Taking these points collectively clearly indicates that the proposed interrupts G&BPC ability to discharge its responsibilities effectively while displacing the electorate. G&BPC Resolution 311 (item 4.3 | 03/05/16)

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded